

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/715,122	11/18/2003	Tadayoshi Tominaga	03194	03194 2753	
23338	7590 10/11/2006		EXAMINER		
DENNISO	N, SCHULTZ & MACDO	MAI, NGO	MAI, NGOCLAN THI		
1727 KING S SUITE 105	STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1742		
		DATE MAILED: 10/11/200	DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/715,122	TOMINAGA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ngoclan T. Mai	1742	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI  1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No.	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff	Appeal. To avoid aba	ice, which
a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  a) ☑ The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropring the final Office to the final rejection, of the final rejection rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection rejection rejection rejection.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	, , ,	0	
		Ngodlan T. Mai Primary Examiner	W

Art Unit: 1742

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The declaration and the attached Japanese Industrial Standard JIS B 0601 only shows that the measure of of Rz is in micrometer but that does not mean that the surface roughness of the oxide film in the instant claim is 3 micrometer. There is no sufficient evidence showing the oxide film on the titanium part in the instant claims to have such roughness.

Claims 1,3, 5-6 are remained rejected on 112, first paragraph.